UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA

HAKIM KAMAU,)	
	Petitioner,)	
VS.)	No. 1:06-cv-1606-SEB-VSS
SUPERINTENDENT	ED BUSS,)	
	Respondent.)	

ENTRY

The petitioner was given a period of time in which to show cause why his petition for a writ of habeas corpus should not be summarily dismissed without prejudice for the reasons explained in paragraph 2 of the Entry issued on December 26, 2006. Although the petitioner has responded by showing that his failure to exhaust available state court remedies should be excused in this circumstance because of the fundamental errors on which his habeas petition is based, the law is otherwise. *Duckworth v. Serrano*, 454 U.S. 1, 4 (1981).

Based on the foregoing, therefore, the present action is dismissed without prejudice pursuant to Rule 4 of the *Rules Governing Section 2254 Proceedings in the United States District Court.* Judgment consistent with this Entry shall now issue.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date:	01/24/2007	Study Evens Barker
		SARAH EVANS BARKER, JUDGE
		United States District Court
		Southern District of Indiana